### MINNESOTA

#### **FINAL COMMITTEE AGENDA**

BOARD OF HENNEPIN COUNTY COMMISSIONERS PUBLIC SAFETY COMMITTEE

TUESDAY, JUNE 19, 2018

1:30 PM

Chair: Jeff Johnson, District 7

Vice-Chair: Marion Greene, District 3

Members: Mike Opat, District 1

Linda Higgins, District 2
Peter McLaughlin, District 4
Debbie Goettel, District 5
Jan Callison, District 6

#### 1. Minutes From Previous Meeting

A. 06/05/2018 Public Safety Meeting Minutes

#### 2. New Business

#### **Routine Items**

#### A. 18-0244

Amd 2 to Agmt A177346 with ESi Acquisition, Inc. for software support and hosting services, extend end date to 12/31/18 with renewals through 12/31/23, incr NTE by \$188,885 for new total NTE of \$220,000

#### B. **18-0245**

Amd 3 to Agmt A20079 with the Metropolitan Emergency Services Board, adding leased antenna space at the Parkers Lake site and deleting Brooklyn Park site, ext end date to 12/31/29, NTE \$0

#### **Items for Discussion and Action**

#### C. 18-0246

Adopt Hennepin County All-Hazard Mitigation Plan

### MINNESOTA

**COMMITTEE MINUTES** 

Vice-Chair: Marion Greene, District 3

BOARD OF HENNEPIN COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

Members: Mike Opat, District 1

PUBLIC SAFETY COMMITTEE

TUESDAY, JUNE 5, 2018 1:30 PM Linda Higgins, District 2
Peter McLaughlin, District 4
Debbie Goettel, District 5
Jan Callison, District 6

Chair: Jeff Johnson, District 7

Commissioner Jeff Johnson, Chair, called the meeting of the Public Safety Committee for Tuesday, June 5, 2018 at 2:49 PM. All Commissioners were present except Commissioner Mike Opat who was absent.

#### 1. Minutes From Previous Meeting

A. 05/15/2018 Public Safety Meeting Minutes

#### **APPROVED**

Commissioner Peter McLaughlin moved to approve the Minutes from the Previous Meeting, seconded by Commissioner Linda Higgins and approved - 6 Yeas 1 Absent: Opat

#### 2. New Business

#### **Routine Items**

#### A. 18-0199

Amd 1 to Agmt A177637 with the State of MN for Criminal Mental Health Court funding, incr recv amt by \$17,000 for a new total recv amount of \$443,000, no change in the contract period, \$17,000 supp app 2018 DOCCR budget

#### **CONSENT**

Commissioner Peter McLaughlin moved to approve, seconded by Commissioner Debbie Goettel and approved - 6 Yeas 1 Absent: Opat

#### B. 18-0200

Agmt 5038C7 with MN Dept of Public Safety extending the Emergency Management Performance grant end date through 7/31/18

#### **CONSENT**

Commissioner Debbie Goettel moved to approve, seconded by Commissioner Jan Callison and approved - 6 Yeas 1 Absent: Opat

#### 3. Adjourn

There being no further business, the meeting of the Public Safety Committee for Tuesday, June 5, 2018 was declared adjourned at 2:50 PM.

Yolanda C Clark Deputy Clerk to the Board

#### MINNESOTA

## Board Action Request 18-0244

#### **Item Description:**

Amd 2 to Agmt A177346 with ESi Acquisition, Inc. for software support and hosting services, extend end date to 12/31/18 with renewals through 12/31/23, incr NTE by \$188,885 for new total NTE of \$220,000

#### Resolution:

BE IT RESOLVED, that Amendment 2 to Agreement A177346 with ESi Acquisition, Inc. for software, support and hosting services, extending the end date through December 31, 2018 with authorized annual renewals through December 31, 2023 and increasing the not to exceed amount by \$188,885 to a new total not to exceed amount of \$220,000 be approved; that the Chair of the County Board be authorized to sign the Amendment on behalf of the county; and that the Controller be authorized to disburse funds as directed.

#### **Background:**

ESi Acquisition, Inc. provides software, support and hosting services for the WebEOC application used by the Department of Emergency Management. The WebEOC application is used by public safety and emergency response agencies to provide real-time information to authorized users to effectively manage incident, situational awareness, and disaster information.

This Amendment allows for the annual renewal of services with a cost increase of no more than 1.5% each year through December 31, 2023.

This request has been reviewed and approved by the Hennepin County Chief Information Officer.

#### MINNESOTA

## Board Action Request 18-0245

#### **Item Description:**

Amd 3 to Agmt A20079 with the Metropolitan Emergency Services Board, adding leased antenna space at the Parkers Lake site and deleting Brooklyn Park site, ext end date to 12/31/29, NTE \$0

#### Resolution:

BE IT RESOLVED, that Amendment 3 to Agreement A20079 with the Metropolitan Emergency Services Board, for the provision of adding the Parkers Lake tower site and deleting the Brooklyn Park tower site from the Master Lease Agreement with Hennepin County, extending the contract period through December 31, 2029 be approved; that the Chair of the Board be authorized to sign the Amendment on behalf of the county; and that the Controller be authorized to disburse funds as directed.

#### **Background:**

On November 1, 1999 the Hennepin County Board entered into a contract to lease public safety radio antenna space to the Metropolitan Radio Board (MRB) at six antenna site locations owned by Hennepin County.

The successor agency to the MRB, the Metropolitan Emergency Services Board (MESB) wishes to amend the agreement to extend the end date through December 31, 2029, add an antenna site at Parker's Lake, and delete an antenna site at Brooklyn Park.

This remains a no charge lease with the MESB agreeing to continue to reimburse the County for operating expenses at the sites in lieu of rent as they have done for the past twenty years. These radio sites are critical for the continued operation of the shared two way radio system which serves the communication needs of public safety (fire, police, EMS), public service, transportation and school districts within the County.

### MINNESOTA

# Board Action Request 18-0246

#### **Item Description:**

Adopt Hennepin County All-Hazard Mitigation Plan

WHEREAS, Hennepin County has participated in the hazard mitigation planning process as established under the federal Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000; and

WHEREAS, the Act establishes a framework for the development of a County Hazard Mitigation Plan; and

WHEREAS, the Act as requires public involvement and local coordination among neighboring local units of government and businesses in the assessment and planning process; and

WHEREAS, the Hennepin County Plan includes a risk assessment including county disaster history, an inventory of hazards that threaten the County, an estimate of infrastructure at risk, a general description of population, land use and development trends; and

WHEREAS, the Hennepin County Plan includes a mitigation strategy including goals and objectives and an action plan identifying specific mitigation projects and costs that will reduce disaster impacts; and

WHEREAS, the Hennepin County Plan includes a maintenance or implementation process including plan updates, integration of the plan into other planning documents and how Hennepin County will maintain public participation and coordination; and

WHEREAS, the Plan has been shared with the Minnesota Division of Homeland Security and Emergency Management and the Federal Emergency Management Agency for review and comment; and

WHEREAS, this Hennepin County All-Hazard Mitigation Plan is multi-jurisdictional in scope and that cities that participated in the planning process may choose to adopt the County Plan and be included in eligibility to apply for federal mitigation grants.

#### Resolution:

BE IT RESOLVED, that the Hennepin County Board of Commissioners adopts the 2018 Hennepin County All-Hazard Mitigation Plan.

#### **Background:**

The primary aim of mitigation is to protect lives by increasing the survivability of disaster situations. Mitigation also makes good economic sense. According to the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), for every federal dollar spent on pre-disaster mitigation, six dollars are saved in disaster response and recovery costs.

The 2018 Hennepin County All-Hazard Mitigation Plan is significantly improved from previous plans. Extensive research has been focused on detailed assessments of the natural hazards effecting the county. New knowledge has been employed to better focus mitigation efforts locally. This work will continue

so that future mitigation projects can be directed to the places most in need of them.

**Current request:** The Federal Emergency Management Agency requires a formal adoption document to complete the approval process for the county's Plan. This request seeks adoption of the 2018 Hennepin County All Hazards Mitigation Plan.

#### **ATTACHMENTS:**

Description	Upload Date	Type
FEMA ltr	6/11/2018	Letter

HC Plan 6/11/2018 Backup Material



#### MAY 1 0 2018

Ms. Jennifer Nelson Homeland Security and Emergency Management Minnesota Department of Public Safety 444 Cedar Street, Suite 223 Saint Paul, MN 55101

Dear Ms. Nelson:

Thank you for submitting the Hennepin County All Hazards Mitigation Plan update for our review. The plan was reviewed based on the local plan criteria contained in 44 CFR Part 201, as authorized by the Disaster Mitigation Act of 2000. Hennepin County met the required criteria for a multi-jurisdictional local hazard mitigation plan. Formal approval of this plan is contingent upon the adoptions by the participating jurisdictions. Once FEMA Region V receives documentation of adoption from the county and other jurisdictions, we will send a letter of official approval to your office.

We look forward to receiving the adoption documentation and completing the approval process for Hennepin County.

If you or the community representatives have any questions, please contact Christine Meissner at (312) 408-4460 or at <a href="mailto:christine.meissner@fema.dhs.gov">christine.meissner@fema.dhs.gov</a>.

Sincerely,

Melissa A. Janssen

Chief, Risk Analysis Branch

Mitigation Division

Attachments: Local Plan Review Sheets

### APPENDIX A: LOCAL MITIGATION PLAN REVIEW TOOL

The *Local Mitigation Plan Review Tool* demonstrates how the Local Mitigation Plan meets the regulation in 44 CFR §201.6 and offers States and FEMA Mitigation Planners an opportunity to provide feedback to the community.

- The <u>Regulation Checklist</u> provides a summary of FEMA's evaluation of whether the Plan has addressed all requirements.
- The <u>Plan Assessment</u> identifies the plan's strengths as well as documents areas for future improvement.
- The Multi-jurisdiction Summary Sheet is an optional worksheet that can be used to document how each jurisdiction met the requirements of the each Element of the Plan (Planning Process; Hazard Identification and Risk Assessment; Mitigation Strategy; Plan Review, Evaluation, and Implementation; and Plan Adoption).

Date of Plan:

The FEMA Mitigation Planner must reference this *Local Mitigation Plan Review Guide* when completing the *Local Mitigation Plan Review Tool*.

Title of Plan:

**Jurisdiction:** 

Hennepin County	Mitigation Plan	/ пагаги	2018	
Local Point of Contact: Bruce Kelii Title: Emergency Manager Agency:	Minigation Plan	Address: 1600 Prarie Dr. Medina, MN, 553	40	
Emergency Management				
<b>Phone Number:</b> 612-596-0246		E-Mail: Bruce.kelii@henr	nepin.us	
State Reviewer: Jennifer Nelson	Title: SHMO		<b>Date:</b> 04/23/18	
FEMA Reviewer:	Title:		Date:	
Christine Meissner	Mitigat	tion Planner		
Date Received in FEMA Region (	insert #) 04/23/1	18		
Plan Not Approved				
Plan Approvable Pending Adopti	on			
Plan Approved	X			

#### SECTION 1: REGULATION CHECKLIST

**INSTRUCTIONS:** The Regulation Checklist must be completed by FEMA. The purpose of the Checklist is to identify the location of relevant or applicable content in the Plan by Element/sub-element and to determine if each requirement has been 'Met' or 'Not Met.' The 'Required Revisions' summary at the bottom of each Element must be completed by FEMA to provide a clear explanation of the revisions that are required for plan approval. Required revisions must be explained for each plan sub-element that is 'Not Met.' Sub-elements should be referenced in each summary by using the appropriate numbers (A1, B3, etc.), where applicable. Requirements for each Element and sub-element are described in detail in this *Plan Review Guide* in Section 4, Regulation Checklist.

1. REGULATION CHECKLIST Location in Plan Regulation (44 CFR 201.6 Local Mitigation Plans) (section and/or		Met	Not Met
ELEMENT A. PLANNING PRO	OCESS		
A1. Does the Plan document the planning process, including how it was prepared and who was involved in the process for each jurisdiction? (Requirement §201.6(c)(1))	Vol. 1, Pg. 15-29: Hennepin County spearheaded plan update in Feb 2015, the planning process included steering committee meetings, planning team meetings,  Vol. 1, Appendix A: Steering Committee Meeting Minutes; Appendix B: Social media engagement; Appendix C: Questionnaire; Appendix D: Press Releases; Appendix E: Capability Questionnaire.	x	
A2. Does the Plan document an opportunity for neighboring communities, local and regional agencies involved in hazard mitigation activities, agencies that have the authority to regulate development as well as other interests to be involved in the planning process? (Requirement §201.6(b)(2))	Vol. 1, Pg. 15-29: Neighboring counties, special districts, and departments involved in land use were reached out to or served on the planning team or participated in a survey.	х	
A3. Does the Plan document how the public was involved in the planning process during the drafting stage? (Requirement §201.6(b)(1))	Vol. 1, Pg. 15-29: Public engaged through social media, media press releases, municipal websites, a questionnaire, and public and stakeholder meetings.	х	
A4. Does the Plan describe the review and incorporation of existing plans, studies, reports, and technical information? (Requirement §201.6(b)(3))	Vol.1, Pg. 21-22: Review of existing plans consisted of mitigation plans of neighboring counties and the state hazard mitigation plan as well as data collection and sharing through the HCEM Regional Emergency Management Planning Reference Collection (REMPRC)	х	

Regulation (44 CIR 201.6 Local Mitigation Plans)  Vol. 1, Pg. 72-73: Continued outreach plan identified.  Vol. 1, Pg. 72-73: Continued outreach plan identified.  X process? (Requirement \$201.6(c)(4/(iii))  A6. Is there a description of the method and schedule for keeping the plan current (monitoring, evaluating and updating the mitigation plan within a 5-year cycle)? (Requirement \$201.6(c)(4/(iii))  ELEMENT B. HAZARD IDENTIFICATION AND RISK ASSESSMENT  B1. Does the Plan include a description of the type, location, and extent of all natural hazards that can affect each jurisdiction(s)? (Requirement \$201.6(c)(2/(ii))  B2. Does the Plan include information on previous occurrences of hazard events and on the probability of future hazard events for each jurisdiction? (Requirement \$201.6(c)(2/(ii))  B3. Is there a description of each identified hazard's impact on the community as well as an overall summary of the community's vulnerability for each jurisdiction? (Requirement \$201.6(c)(2/(iii))  B4. Does the Plan address NFIP insured structures within the jurisdiction that have been repetitively damaged by floods? (Requirement \$201.6(c)(2/(iii))  ELEMENT B: REQUIRED REVISIONS	1. REGULATION CHECKLIST	Plan		Not
community(ies) will continue public participation in the plan maintenance process? (Requirement \$201.6(c)(4/(iii))  A6. Is there a description of the method and schedule for keeping the plan current (monitoring, evaluating and updating the mitigation plan within a 5-year cycle)? (Requirement \$201.6(c)(4/(ii))  ELEMENT A: REQUIRED REVISIONS  ELEMENT B. HAZARD IDENTIFICATION AND RISK ASSESSMENT  B1. Does the Plan include a description of the type, location, and extent of all natural hazards that can affect each jurisdiction(s)? (Requirement \$201.6(c)(2)(ii))  B2. Does the Plan include information on previous occurrences of hazard events and on the probability of future hazard events for each jurisdiction? (Requirement \$201.6(c)(2)(ii))  B3. Is there a description of each identified hazard's impact on the community as well as an overall summary of the community? (Requirement \$201.6(c)(2)(ii))  B4. Does the Plan address NFIP insured structures within the jurisdiction that have been repetitively damaged by floods? (Requirement \$201.6(c)(2)(iii))  A vol. 2, Pg. 5-218: Pach hazard profile includes discussion of primary consequences, secondary consequences and cascading effects.  Vol. 2, Pg. 5-218: Each hazard profile includes discussion on primary consequences, secondary consequences and cascading effects.  Vol. 2, Pg. 5-218: Fach hazard profile includes discussion on primary consequences, secondary consequences and cascading effects.  Vol. 2, Pg. 219-239: Maps for each hazard conveying high, medium and low risk within the county.  Vol. 2, Pg. 241-313: asset inventory including building type and replacement costs.  The Hennepin County Critical Infrastructure and Facilities Critical Facility Index (CFI) Priority Ranking Aid was used to estimate losses.  Vol. 1 Pg. 67: Aggregated RLP map.  x			Met	Met
A6. Is there a description of the method and schedule for keeping the plan current (monitoring, evaluating and updating the mitigation plan within a 5-year cycle)? (Requirement \$201.6(c)(4)(ii))  ELEMENT B. HAZARD IDENTIFICATION AND RISK ASSESSMENT  B1. Does the Plan include a description of the type, location, and extent of all natural hazards that can affect each jurisdiction(s)? (Requirement \$201.6(c)(2)(ii))  B2. Does the Plan include information on previous occurrences of hazard events and on the probability of future hazard events for each jurisdiction? (Requirement \$201.6(c)(2)(ii))  B3. Is there a description of each identified hazard's impact on the community as well as an overall summary of the community's vulnerability for each jurisdiction? (Requirement \$201.6(c)(2)(ii))  W0. 2, Pg. 5-218: previous occurrences of hazard events for each jurisdiction? (Requirement \$201.6(c)(2)(ii))  W0. 2, Pg. 5-218: Each hazard profile includes discussion on primary consequences, secondary consequences and cascading effects.  V0. 2, Pg. 219-239: Maps for each hazard conveying high, medium and low risk within the county.  V0. 2, Pg. 219-239: Maps for each hazard conveying high, medium and low risk within the county.  V0. 2, Pg. 219-239: Maps for each hazard conveying high, medium and low risk within the county.  V0. 2, Pg. 219-239: Maps for each hazard conveying high, medium and low risk within the county.  V0. 2, Pg. 219-239: Maps for each hazard conveying high, medium and low risk within the county.  V0. 2, Pg. 218-18: Critical Infrastructure and Facilities Critical Facility Index (CFI) Priority Ranking Aid was used to estimate losses.  V0. 1 Pg. 67: Aggregated RLP map.	community(ies) will continue public participation in the plan maintenance process? (Requirement		X	
ELEMENT B. HAZARD IDENTIFICATION AND RISK ASSESSMENT  B1. Does the Plan include a description of the type, location, and extent of all natural hazards that can affect each jurisdiction(s)? (Requirement \$201.6(c)(2)(i))  B2. Does the Plan include information on previous occurrences of hazard events and on the probability of future hazard events for each jurisdiction? (Requirement \$201.6(c)(2)(ii))  B3. Is there a description of each identified hazard's impact on the community as well as an overall summary of the community's vulnerability for each jurisdiction? (Requirement \$201.6(c)(2)(ii))  Wol. 2, Pg. 5-218: Each hazard profile includes discussion on trends and projections. Climate change is included in the plan as well.  Vol. 2, Pg. 5-218: Each hazard profile includes discussion on primary consequences, secondary consequences and cascading effects.  Vol. 2, Pg. 219-239: Maps for each hazard conveying high, medium and low risk within the county.  Vol. 2, Pg. 241-313: asset inventory including building type and replacement costs.  The Hennepin County Critical Infrastructure and Facilities Critical Facility Index (CFI) Priority Ranking Aid was used to estimate losses.  B4. Does the Plan address NFIP insured structures within the jurisdiction that have been repetitively damaged by floods? (Requirement \$201.6(c)(2)(ii))	A6. Is there a description of the method and schedule for keeping the plan current (monitoring, evaluating and updating the mitigation plan within a 5-year cycle)? (Requirement §201.6(c)(4)(i))	process identified.	x	
B1. Does the Plan include a description of the type, location, and extent of all natural hazards that can affect each jurisdiction(s)? (Requirement \$201.6(c)(2)(i))  B2. Does the Plan include information on previous occurrences of hazard events and on the probability of future hazard events for each jurisdiction? (Requirement \$201.6(c)(2)(i))  B3. Is there a description of each identified hazard's impact on the community's vulnerability for each jurisdiction? (Requirement \$201.6(c)(2)(ii))  CRequirement \$201.6(c)(2)(ii))  B4. Does the Plan address NFIP insured structures within the jurisdiction that have been repetitively damaged by floods? (Requirement \$201.6(c)(2)(ii))  Vol. 2, Pg. 5-218: previous occurrences include historic data and declaration history; probability includes discussion of trends and projections. Climate change is included in the plan as well.  Vol. 2, Pg. 5-218: Each hazard profile includes discussion on primary consequences, secondary consequences and cascading effects.  Vol. 2, Pg. 219-239: Maps for each hazard conveying high, medium and low risk within the county.  Vol. 2, Pg. 241-313: asset inventory including building type and replacement costs.  The Hennepin County Critical Infrastructure and Facilities Critical Facility Index (CFI) Priority Ranking Aid was used to estimate losses.  Vol. 1 Pg. 67: Aggregated RLP map.  x jurisdiction that have been repetitively damaged by floods? (Requirement \$201.6(c)(2)(ii))		<u>NS</u>		
include geographic scope and magnitude for the following—Landslide, sinkhole, soil frost, volcanic ash, climate change, tornado, high winds, hail, lightening, extreme rainfall, extreme heat, drought, duststorms, extreme cold, winter storms, ice storms, flooding, wildland fires.  B2. Does the Plan include information on previous occurrences of hazard events and on the probability of future hazard events for each jurisdiction? (Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(i))  B3. Is there a description of each identified hazard's impact on the community as well as an overall summary of the community's vulnerability for each jurisdiction? (Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(ii))  Wol. 2, Pg. 5-218: previous occurrences include historic data and declaration history; probability includes discussion of trends and projections. Climate change is included in the plan as well.  Wol. 2, Pg. 5-218: Each hazard profile includes discussion on primary consequences, secondary consequences and cascading effects.  Vol. 2, Pg. 219-239: Maps for each hazard conveying high, medium and low risk within the county.  Vol. 2, Pg. 241-313: asset inventory including building type and replacement costs.  The Hennepin County Critical Infrastructure and Facilities Critical Facility Index (CFI) Priority Ranking Aid was used to estimate losses.  Vol. 1 Pg. 67: Aggregated RLP map.  x  yol. 2 Pg. 241-313: asset inventory including building type and replacement costs.  Vol. 1 Pg. 67: Aggregated RLP map.	ELEMENT B. HAZARD IDENT	ΓΙΓΙCATION AND RISK ASSESSMENT		
on previous occurrences of hazard events and on the probability of future hazard events for each jurisdiction? (Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(i))  B3. Is there a description of each identified hazard's impact on the community as well as an overall summary of the community's vulnerability for each jurisdiction? (Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(ii))  Vol. 2, Pg. 5-218: Each hazard profile includes discussion on primary consequences, secondary consequences and cascading effects.  Vol. 2, Pg. 219-239: Maps for each hazard conveying high, medium and low risk within the county.  Vol. 2, Pg. 241-313: asset inventory including building type and replacement costs.  The Hennepin County Critical Infrastructure and Facilities Critical Facility Index (CFI) Priority Ranking Aid was used to estimate losses.  Vol. 1 Pg. 67: Aggregated RLP map.  x  Vol. 2 Pg. 241-313: asset inventory including building type and replacement costs.  The Hennepin County Critical Infrastructure and Facilities Critical Facility Index (CFI) Priority Ranking Aid was used to estimate losses.  Vol. 1 Pg. 67: Aggregated RLP map.  x  y  x  y  y  y  y  y  y  y  y  y  y	of the type, location, and extent of all natural hazards that can affect each jurisdiction(s)? (Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(i))	include geographic scope and magnitude for the following—Landslide, sinkhole, soil frost, volcanic ash, climate change, tornado, high winds, hail, lightening, extreme rainfall, extreme heat, drought, duststorms, extreme cold, winter storms, ice storms, flooding, wildland fires.	х	
B3. Is there a description of each identified hazard's impact on the community as well as an overall summary of the community's vulnerability for each jurisdiction? (Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(ii))  Vol. 2, Pg. 219-239: Maps for each hazard conveying high, medium and low risk within the county.  Vol. 2, Pg. 241-313: asset inventory including building type and replacement costs.  The Hennepin County Critical Infrastructure and Facilities Critical Facility Index (CFI) Priority Ranking Aid was used to estimate losses.  B4. Does the Plan address NFIP insured structures within the jurisdiction that have been repetitively damaged by floods? (Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(ii))  Vol. 2, Pg. 241-313: asset inventory including building type and replacement costs.  The Hennepin County Critical Infrastructure and Facilities Critical Facility Index (CFI) Priority Ranking Aid was used to estimate losses.  Vol. 1 Pg. 67: Aggregated RLP map.	on previous occurrences of hazard events and on the probability of future hazard events for each jurisdiction?	historic data and declaration history; probability includes discussion of trends and projections.	X	
B4. Does the Plan address NFIP Vol.1 Pg. 67: Aggregated RLP map.  insured structures within the jurisdiction that have been repetitively damaged by floods? (Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(ii))	B3. Is there a description of each identified hazard's impact on the community as well as an overall summary of the community's vulnerability for each jurisdiction?	discussion on primary consequences, secondary consequences and cascading effects.  Vol. 2, Pg. 219-239: Maps for each hazard conveying high, medium and low risk within the county.  Vol. 2, Pg. 241-313: asset inventory including building type and replacement costs.  The Hennepin County Critical Infrastructure and Facilities Critical Facility Index (CFI) Priority	x	
	insured structures within the jurisdiction that have been repetitively damaged by floods? (Requirement		х	
		NS		

Page 10 of 12

1. REGULATION CHECKLIST	Location in Plan		Not
Regulation (44 CFR 201.6 Local Mitiga		Met	Met
C1. Does the plan document each jurisdiction's existing authorities, policies, programs and resources and its ability to expand on and improve these existing policies and programs? (Requirement §201.6(c)(3))	Vol. 1, Pg. 60-66: All municipalities in the county filled out a survey on their planning, administrative and technical, and training and education capabilities.  Vol.1, Appendix E: sample questionnaire	х	
C2. Does the Plan address each jurisdiction's participation in the NFIP and continued compliance with NFIP requirements, as appropriate? (Requirement §201.6(c)(3)(ii))	Vol.1, Pg. 64-66: List of NFIP participating communities. Part of the outreach survey asked questions about whether homeowners have an NFIP regarding the NFIP policy.	X	
C3. Does the Plan include goals to reduce/avoid long-term vulnerabilities to the identified hazards? (Requirement §201.6(c)(3)(i))	Vol. 3, pg 9: Seven mitigation goals listed.	X	
C4. Does the Plan identify and analyze a comprehensive range of specific mitigation actions and projects for each jurisdiction being considered to reduce the effects of hazards, with emphasis on new and existing buildings and infrastructure? (Requirement §201.6(c)(3)(ii))	Vol. 3, Pg. 15-342: Comprehensive list of mitigation actions for all participating jurisdictions. Actions are connected to goals and objectives and individual hazards.	х	
C5. Does the Plan contain an action plan that describes how the actions identified will be prioritized (including cost benefit review), implemented, and administered by each jurisdiction? (Requirement §201.6(c)(3)(iv)); (Requirement §201.6(c)(3)(iii))	Vol. 3, Pg. 11: Prioritization criteria Vol.3, Pg. 15-342: Mitigation strategy includes timeline, estimated costs and priority.	х	
C6. Does the Plan describe a process by which local governments will integrate the requirements of the mitigation plan into other planning mechanisms, such as comprehensive or capital improvement plans, when appropriate? (Requirement §201.6(c)(4)(ii))	Vol.1, Pg. 73-73: Table indicating integration and implementation touchpoints with other planning and policy initiatives.	х	
ELEMENT C: REQUIRED REVISIO	NS	ı	
ELEMENT D. PLAN REVIEW (applicable to plan updates only)	, EVALUATION, AND IMPLEMENTAT	ION	
D1. Was the plan revised to reflect changes in development? (Requirement §201.6(d)(3))	Vol.1, Pg. 58: Discussion of projected future development and growth.	X	

1. REGULATION CHECKLIST	Location in Plan		Not
Regulation (44 CFR 201.6 Local Mitigation)	tion Plans) (section and/or	Met	Met
D2. Was the plan revised to reflect progress in local mitigation efforts? (Requirement §201.6(d)(3))	Yes, volume 1 describes the progression of each plan iteration and the tasks the community undertook to make sure this plan reflected updated and comprehensive information.	х	
D3. Was the plan revised to reflect changes in priorities? (Requirement §201.6(d)(3))	Vol.1, Pg. 17-18: Plan states this update reflects a more comprehensive risk assessment including more hazards as well as detailed information on vulnerability that can better support benefit costs on project applications. This plan also includes a more comprehensive capability assessment.	х	
ELEMENT D: REQUIRED REVISIO	NS		
ELEMENT E. PLAN ADOPTION  E1. Does the Plan include documentation that the plan has been formally adopted by the governing	Pending		
body of the jurisdiction requesting approval? (Requirement §201.6(c)(5))			
E2. For multi-jurisdictional plans, has each jurisdiction requesting approval of the plan documented formal plan adoption? (Requirement §201.6(c)(5))	Pending		
ELEMENT E: REQUIRED REVISIO	NS		
	TATE REQUIREMENTS (OPTIONAL INOT TO BE COMPLETED BY FEMA)	FOR	
F1.			
F2.			
ELEMENT F: REQUIRED REVISION	NS		